

AD 763 768

LIBRARY
TECHNICAL REPORT SECTION
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA 93940

PAPER P-969

EQUIVALENCE OF TWO MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMS WITH OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS IN THE CONSTRAINTS

Jerome Bracken
James E. Falk *
James T. McGill **

July 1973



INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES
PROGRAM ANALYSIS DIVISION

* Institute of Management Science and Engineering,
The George Washington University
** Illinois Board of Higher Education,
Springfield, Illinois

a dated entry
Institute for Defense
ANALySES.
IDA/HQ-73-15312.
IDA Log No. HQ 73-15312
Copy 56 of 145 copies

The work reported in this publication was conducted under
IDA's Independent Research Program. Its publication does not
imply endorsement by the Department of Defense or any other
Government agency, nor should the contents be construed as
reflecting the official position of any Government agency.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES PROGRAM ANALYSIS DIVISION 400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Va. 22202	2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
3. REPORT TITLE	2b. GROUP

Equivalence of Two Mathematical Programs with Optimization Problems in the Constraints

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)

Jerome Bracken, James E. Falk, and James T. McGill

6. REPORT DATE July 1973	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 13	7b. NO. OF REFS 2
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) IDA PAPER P-969	
b. PROJECT NO. c. IDA Independent Research Program	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.		
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document is unclassified and suitable for public release.		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY	

13. ABSTRACT

Two classes of mathematical programs with optimization problems in the constraints have recently been studied by two of the authors. The first class involves mathematical programs in the constraints, and the second class involves max-min problems in the constraints. A computational technique has been developed and shown to be effective in solving problems of the first class. We show here that the computational technique can be applied to problems of the apparently wider second class.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Optimization						
Nonlinear Programming						
Max-Min						
Two-Sided Optimization						
Game Theory						
SUMT						
INSUMT						
Computer Program						
Algorithm						

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

PAPER P-969

EQUIVALENCE OF TWO MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMS WITH OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS IN THE CONSTRAINTS

Jerome Bracken
James E. Falk *
James T. McGill **

July 1973



INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES
PROGRAM ANALYSIS DIVISION
400 Army-Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22202

*Institute of Management Science and Engineering,
The George Washington University
**Illinois Board of Higher Education,
Springfield, Illinois

IDA Independent Research Program

ABSTRACT

Two classes of mathematical programs with optimization problems in the constraints have recently been studied by two of the authors. The first class involves mathematical programs in the constraints, and the second class involves max-min problems in the constraints. A computational technique has been developed and shown to be effective in solving problems of the first class. We show here that the computational technique can be applied to problems of the apparently wider second class.

CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
PROBLEM DESCRIPTION	2
THEORY	4
REFERENCES	6

INTRODUCTION

Reference [1] presents theory, interpretations, and an example of mathematical programs with optimization problems in the constraints. Reference [2] presents a computer program for solving mathematical programs with nonlinear programs in the constraints. A future paper will discuss defense applications of these types of mathematical systems.

When the optimization problems in the constraints are two-sided optimization problems, the overall problem cannot be computationally solved directly by the methods given in Reference [2]. This paper shows how, for a large class of two-sided optimization problems in the constraints, the overall problem can be reformulated to yield one-sided optimization problems in the constraints in a form suitable for solution using the methods given in Reference [2].

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

In Reference [1] Bracken and McGill discuss the following problems:

A) minimize $\Phi(\xi)$
 ξ

subject to

$$\xi \in \Xi,$$

$$\min_{\nu^i \in N^i} \gamma^i(\xi, \nu^i) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

and

B) minimize $f(x)$
 x

subject to

$$x \in X,$$

$$\max_{u^i \in U^i(x)} \min_{v^i \in V^i} g^i(x, u^i, v^i) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

These are shown to be convex programs under appropriate assumptions on the functions Φ , γ^i , f , g^i and the sets Ξ , N^i , X , $U^i(x)$, and V^i .

In Reference [2], the same authors describe a computational procedure which has been implemented for solving convex programs of type A.

The constraint set for problems of type B was noted in Reference [1] to be equivalent to the set

$$\{x \in X : \min_{v^i \in V^i} g^i(x, u^i, v^i) \geq 0 \text{ for some } u^i \in U^i(x), i=1, \dots, m\}.$$

This paper expands upon this equivalence and shows that problems of type B can be recast into problems of type A and solved by the procedure given in Reference [2].

THEORY

Define the following problem:

C) minimize $f(x)$
 (x, u^1, \dots, u^m)

subject to

$$x \in X,$$

$$u^i \in U^i(x) \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$\min_{\substack{i \\ v^i \in V^i}} g^i(x, u^i, v^i) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Note that problem C has the form of problem A when the vector ξ is replaced by the vector (x, u^1, \dots, u^m) . Also note that we make no convexity or concavity assumptions in the theorem which follows.

The computational procedure requires such, however, and the reader is referred to References [1] and [2] for further details. We assume that all of the max or min operations used in the theorem exist. This assumption is valid, for example, when the functions f, g^1, \dots, g^m are continuous and the sets $X, V^i (i = 1, \dots, m)$, and $\{(x, u^1, \dots, u^m) : u^i \in U^i(x), i = 1, \dots, m\}$ are compact.

THEOREM. Problem B is equivalent to problem C.

Proof. Let $\bar{x} \in X$ be a feasible point of problem B. Then there are vectors $\bar{u}^1, \dots, \bar{u}^m$ such that \bar{u}^i solves

$$\max_{u^i \in U^i(\bar{x})} \min_{v^i \in V^i} g^i(\bar{x}, u^i, v^i)$$

and, moreover, this quantity is nonnegative. Hence,

$$\bar{u}^i \in U^i(\bar{x})$$

and

$$\min_{v^i \in V^i} g^i(\bar{x}, \bar{u}^i, v^i) \geq 0,$$

i.e., $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}^1, \dots, \bar{u}^m)$ is feasible to C.

Now let $(\bar{x}, \bar{u}^1, \dots, \bar{u}^m)$ be feasible to problem C. Then $\bar{x} \in X$, $\bar{u}^i \in U^i(\bar{x})$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), and

$$h_i(\bar{x}, \bar{u}^i) = \min_{v^i \in V^i} g^i(\bar{x}, \bar{u}^i, v^i) \geq 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus

$$\max_{u^i \in U^i(\bar{x})} h_i(\bar{x}, \bar{u}^i) \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

so that, in fact, \bar{x} is feasible to B.

Since the objective function $f(x)$ is common to both problems, it follows that problems B and C have a common optimal value, completing the proof.

REFERENCES

1. Jerome Bracken and James T. McGill, Mathematical Programs With Optimization Problems in the Constraints, Institute for Defense Analyses, Paper P-725, May 1971 (revised and published in Operations Research, Volume 21, 1973, pp. 37-44).
2. Jerome Bracken and James T. McGill, Computer Program for Solving Mathematical Programs With Nonlinear Programs in the Constraints, Institute for Defense Analyses, Paper P-801, March 1972.

